

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,510	08/05/2003		Michael Darren Meadows		7763	
75	90	11/27/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Michael D. Meadows Building D				BECKER, SHASHI KAMALA		
44 Inverness Drive East				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Englewood, CO 80112				2179		

DATE MAILED: 11/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

t	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/633,510	MEADOWS, MICHAEL DARREN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shashi K. Becker	2179	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		_	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 8/5/03 is/are: a) ☐ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. 4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.
- 2. Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2179

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over NETxt v1.00, "A handy web-based online word processor," in view of Microsoft Word 2000. NETxt v1.00 teaches, the overall methodology and system of creating, editing, saving, or otherwise manipulating a document as a collection of separately customizable objects, including, without limitation, text objects and line objects, providing a user a way to request the document, including, without limitation, a URL (Uniform Resource Locator) (NETxt v1.00 page 1 and 2), and enabling, but not requiring, a user to specify values for the configurable properties of each object, including, without limitation, font name, font size, font color, font style (bold, italic, underline), font alignment, text displayed (NETxt v1.00 page 1 and 2), and enabling, but not requiring, a user to specify which objects or which sections of the document can expand or shrink to accommodate objects that exceed or fall short of their predefined size (NETxt v1.00 page 2, where increasing/decreasing the font size will expand or shrink text objects) (due to values specified for the configurable properties), and, in response to a user's request for a document, and taking into account the way the document was originally created and the values, if any, the user specifies for the configurable properties of each object, render the document and provide it in a format including, without limitation, a visual or electronic representation (NETxt v1.00, pg. 1 and 2). However, NETxt v1.00 does not specifically teach a user to specify values for the configurable properties of each object, including, without limitation, line style, line size and line color. Microsoft Word 2000 teaches a basic word processor. Microsoft Word 2000 further

teaches a user to specify values for the configurable properties of each object,

Art Unit: 2179

including, without limitation, line style, line size and line color (Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method and apparatus of NETxt v1.00 to include specifying values of line style, size and color in order specify values and attributes of line objects. One would have been motivated to make such a combination in order to allow the user to configure all the objects in a document according to his/her specification.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2179

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shashi K. Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-8919. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on 571-272-4847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SKB